

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/909,447

Examiner

Rashmi K. Sharma

Applicant(s)

GULDENFELS ET AL.

Art Unit

3651

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 2/20/2004.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 8-14.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 19 July 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other _____

James R. Bidwell
JAMES R. BIDWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 4000
3651

3/11/04

Examiner's Amendment

1. Authorization to cancel non-elected claims 1-7 and 17-20 was granted from David L. Principe, attorney of record on March 10, 2004.

Reasons For Allowance

2. Claims 8-14 have been allowed.
3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent claim 8 recites the structural limitation of a modular belt system comprising "the second inner wall having a recessed portion forming a ledge" and "a side guard having a central post with a projection disposed thereon, the central post capable of sliding substantially perpendicular into the opening such that the projection engages with the recessed portion in the belt module", in combination with the rest of the recited structure, clearly defines over the prior art. The advantage of having a recessed portion forming a ledge within the extended opening allows for the side guards to be snapped into and out of place more easily than the existing side guard arrangements disclosed in the prior art of record.

Independent claim 9 recites the recites the structural limitation of a modular belt system comprising "a side guard having a central post with a projection disposed thereon, the central post capable of sliding into the opening such that the projection engages with the recessed portion in the belt module wherein the central post has a back wall that is beveled inward and disposed opposite from the projection", in combination with the rest of the recited structure, clearly defines over the prior art. The

Art Unit: 3651

advantage of having a central post back wall formed in a beveled inwardly fashion opposite the projection, allows for the side guard to be more easily inserted and connected to the belt module opening.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Rashmi Sharma who can be reached at 703-306-5952 between the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Any general inquiry concerning the status of this application should be directed to the Group